

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2001-060

January 29, 2001

CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY
Request for Approval of Second Amendments
To Two Customer Service Agreements for
Hancock Lumber (Pittsfield and Casco Facilities)
Pursuant to Optional Targeted Service Rate: Diesel
Generation Deferral Energy Service (DDR Tariff)

ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

SUMMARY OF DECISION

By this Order, we grant final approval of Second Amendments to the Customer Service Agreements (CSAs) between Central Maine Power Company (CMP) and Hancock Lumber Company, Inc. (Hancock) for service to its Pittsfield and Casco facilities.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

On December 21, 2000, CMP filed with this Commission proposed Second Amendments to its CSAs with Hancock's Pittsfield and Casco facilities. These Second Amendments to the CSAs supercede First Amendments that provided unbundled pricing from May through September (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated July 19, 2000 in Docket No. 2000-601) and Original CSAs that provided pricing from March through April (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated April 12, 2000 in Docket No. 2000-300). These Second Amendments to the CSAs provide for unbundled pricing for the month of October, 2000, which is the last month of the contract term.

We have conducted a review of the terms of these Amendments and have determined that these Second Amendments are reasonable and comply with 35-A M.R.S.A. § 3204(10). Therefore, we grant approval of the Second Amendments to the CSAs pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 703(3-A).

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 29th day of January, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch
 Nugent
 Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.